

**FILED**

DEC 18 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**JUDICIAL COUNCIL  
OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

**IN RE COMPLAINT OF  
JUDICIAL MISCONDUCT**

Nos. 14-90070 and 14-90071

**ORDER**

**THOMAS**, Chief Judge:

A pro se litigant alleges that a district judge made various procedural errors in his civil case. These allegations relate directly to the merits of the judge's rulings and must therefore be dismissed. See 28 U.S.C. § 352(b)(1)(A)(ii); In re Charge of Judicial Misconduct, 685 F.2d 1226, 1227 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 1982); Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(B).

Complainant also alleges that the judge must have been biased against him because the judge went on a “fishing expedition” to dismiss his case. Further, complainant alleges that the judge tried to intimidate him by threatening monetary sanctions after complainant filed multiple motions to reconsider. However, adverse or even incorrect rulings are not evidence of bias or intimidation. Because complainant offers no other evidence to support his claim, this charge must be dismissed. See Judicial-Conduct Rule 11(c)(1)(D); In re Complaint of Judicial Misconduct, 631 F.3d 961, 963 (9th Cir. Jud. Council 2011); see also 28 U.S.C. §

352(b)(1)(A)(iii).

**DISMISSED.**